

Administrative Research Project Individual (31)

CONTESTANT NUMBER	
RATING SHEET – COMPLETE ONE PER CONT	ESTANT
PRESENTATION SCORE	
Judge 1 (100 points)	
Judge 2 (100 points)	
Judge 3 (100 points)	
Total Judges Points	
Divided by # of judges	
AVERAGE OF JUDGES SCORES	(100 maximum)
SPECIFICATION SCORE	(30 maximum)
TECHNICAL SCORE	
Judge 1 (100 points)	
Judge 2 (100 points)	
Judge 3 (100 points)	
Total Judges Points	
Divided by # of judges	
AVERAGE OF TECHNICAL SCORES	(100 maximum)
TOTAL	(230 maximum)
RANK	

Property of Business Professionals of America May be reproduced only for use in the Business Professionals of America *Workplace Skills Assessment Program* competition.



Administrative Research Project Individual (31)

Judge Number	Contestant Number
--------------	-------------------

Presentation Scoring Rubric

Evaluation of oral presentation	Below Average	Average	Good	Excellent	Points Awarded
Opening and summary	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Content of presentation	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Effectiveness of presentation (Voice projection, transitions, flow, stage presence, etc.)	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Quality of problem solution	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Answers to judges' questions	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
TOTAL PRESENTATION POINTS (100 points maximum)					

Specification Points Rubric

SPECIFICATION POINTS: All points or none are awarded by the proctor per contestant, not per judge.		
Word-processed research paper according to Style and Reference Manual	10	
Word-processed works cited page	10	
Two (2) copies of documents submitted at time of presentation	10	
TOTAL PRESENTATION SPECIFICATION POINTS (30 points maximum)		

TOTAL MAXIMUM POINTS=230

PRESENTATION MUST BE STOPPED AT FIVE MINUTES!!!!

Property of Business Professionals of America
May be reproduced only for use in the Business Professionals of America
Workplace Skills Assessment Program competition.



Administrative Research Project Individual (31)

JUDGES COMMENTS

COMMENTS: (to be viewed by contestant)	
(Judges: please provide positive feedback and areas of improvement in a constructive manner regarding your analysis of his/her competition.)	

REASON FOR DISQUALIFICATION: (if applicable)